arXiv:1302.0171v1 [astro-ph.CO] 1 Feb 2013

BOUNDS ON SPONTANEOUS COLLAPSE MODEL OF QUANTUM MECHANICS FROM FORMATION OF CMBR AND STANDARD COSMOLOGY

SURATNA DAS*, KINJALK LOCHAN

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai 400005, India
**E-mail: suratna@tifr.res.in*

ANGELO BASSI

Department of Physics, University of Trieste, Strada Costiera 11, 34151 Trieste, Italy.
IIstituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Trieste Section, Via Valerio 2, 34127 Trieste, Italy.

Continuous Spontaneous Localization (CSL) model of Quantum Mechanics modifies Schrödinger equation by adding non-linear stochastic terms due to which the total energy of a system increases with a constant rate which is proportional to the collapse rate λ. Thus applying CSL model to cosmological scenarios can change the thermal behaviour of the particles during evolution and we will put constraints on λ by considering several cosmological scenarios.

1. Introduction

The remarkable difference between the probabilistic dynamics of microscopic quantum particles and the deterministic nature of macroscopic classical objects remains a long-standing puzzle since the advent of Quantum Mechanics. Models of spontaneous collapse of wavefunctions[1,2] is one attempt to unify these two apparently different dynamics by modifying the Schrödinger equation with additional non-linear terms which breakdown the superposition of wavefunctions at macroscopic level yielding deterministic dynamics for classical objects. These non-linear terms also act as amplification mechanism such that the modified dynamics only affect the macroscopic dynamics preserving the probabilistic nature of the microscopic ones. Among many attempts to construct models of spontaneous collapse of wavefunction Continuous Spontaneous Localization (CSL)[3,4] model is one which will be of our main focus in this discussion. This model has two free parameters, the collapse rate λ and the width of the localization r_c, whose theoretical origins are yet to be determined. Also, in its present form the CSL mechanism is only applicable to non-relativistic particles. The consequence of adding non-linear terms to Schrödinger equation is that the energy of the system increases with time and the energy density of a system containing a species s increases with a rate as

$$\frac{\partial \varepsilon_s}{\partial t} = \frac{3\alpha\lambda\hbar^2}{4m_s} n_s \,, \tag{1}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{1}{r_c^2}$, m_s is the mass of a non-relativistic particle of that species and n_s is the number density. In generic CSL scenario the collapse rate λ is taken to be independent of the mass of the non-relativistic particle. But in a variant scenario it is considered to be proportional to the square of the mass of the particle under consideration as

$$\lambda(m) = \lambda_0 \left(\frac{m}{m_N}\right)^2 , \tag{2}$$

where m_N is the mass of a nucleon. This scenario shows that the collapse rate can be different for different species and the collapse rate is higher for heavier ones. We will investigate the cosmological implications of such a feature of CSL in this talk and put constraint on λ from various cosmological facts and observations.

2. Effects of CSL heating on different cosmological scenarios and bounds on $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

We will now investigate the cosmological consequences of heating up of non-relativistic particles in the cosmic soup due to CSL mechanism.

1. First of all, as the total energy density of the particles change with a constant rate duo to CSL heating the evolution of energy density of non-relativistic matter in the radiation and matter dominated eras changes which can be given as[5]

$$\rho^{\mathrm{RD}}_{M_f} = \exp\left\{K_{\mathrm{CSL,RD}}\left[\frac{1}{(1+z_f)^2} - \frac{1}{(1+z_i)^2}\right]\right\}\left(\frac{1+z_f}{1+z_i}\right)^3 \rho_{M_i} , \tag{3}$$

$$\rho^{\mathrm{MD}}_{M_f} = \exp\left\{K_{\mathrm{CSL,MD}}\left[\frac{1}{(1+z_f)^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{1}{(1+z_i)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right]\right\}\left(\frac{1+z_f}{1+z_i}\right)^3 \rho_{M_i} , \tag{4}$$

where $K_{\mathrm{CSL,RD}} \approx \sum_{s=e,p} \frac{3\times10^{-9}\lambda\hbar^2\alpha}{8m_s m_p c^2 \Omega_{R_0}^{\frac{1}{2}} H_0}$ and $K_{\mathrm{CSL,MD}} \approx \sum_{s=e,p} \frac{\lambda\hbar^2\alpha}{6m_s m_p c^2 \Omega_{M_0}^{\frac{1}{2}} H_0}$. We demand that the CSL mechanism will not effect the standard evolution of the non-relativistic matter during radiation and matter dominated phases of the Universe.

2. Next we see that the precise blackbody spectrum of CMBR indicates that the radiation and matter were in perfect thermal equilibrium before decoupling at $z \approx 1100$. Compton scattering is the most significant process in thermalization of cosmic plasma once the photon number non-conserving processes die out at $z \approx 10^6$. The time of thermalization due to Compton scattering is

$$t_{\mathrm{C}} = \frac{3m_e c}{4\sigma_T \varepsilon_\gamma} \simeq 7.63 \times 10^{19}(1+z)^{-4}\,\mathrm{s}. \tag{5}$$

We demand that the CSL heating rate should be much smaller than the Compton thermalization rate so that the radiation and matter in the cosmic soup can attain thermal equilibrium to yield a perfect blackbody spectrum.

3. Energy injections at early epochs in the cosmic plasma via direct heating of particles or by injecting high frequency photons relaxes the Planck spectrum of the thermalized photon density to a Bose-Einstein distribution with a non-zero chemical potential μ. This is known as the $\mu-$type spectral distortion of CMBR. COBE/FIRAS[6] puts an upper bound on such a distortion of the blackbody spectrum as $\mu \leq 9 \times 10^{-5}$ whereas an upcoming experiment PIXIE[7] can constrain it up

to $\mu \sim 5 \times 10^{-8}$. CSL heating can yield a $\mu-$type distortion in the CMBR of the amount[5] :

$$\delta\mu = \frac{3}{2.143}\frac{\delta\varepsilon_{\rm CSL}}{\varepsilon_\gamma}. \tag{6}$$

One can constrain λ from the upper-bounds on $\mu-$type distortions from these two experiments.

4. If energy releases in the early universe during $z \leq 5 \times 10^4$ makes the temperature of the electrons greater than that of the photons then low-energy photons will be upscattered in frequency via Compton scattering causing a deficit of photons in the low frequency regime and an increment of photons at high frequencies in comparison with a standard blackbody spectrum. Such a distortion is known as the $y-$type distortion of the CMBR spectrum. COBE/FIRAS[6] puts an upper bound on such a distortion of the blackbody spectrum as $y \leq 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ whereas an upcoming experiment PIXIE[7] can constrain it up to $y \leq 10^{-8}$. One can calculate the amount of $y-$type distortion due to CSL heating of non-relativistic particles by[5]

$$\delta y = \frac{1}{4}\frac{\delta\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}. \tag{7}$$

Hence, upper-bounds on such a distortion from the two experiments mentioned above can also constrain the parameter λ of CSL.

We consider all these above mentioned scenarios and put constraints on λ parameter of CSL which we summarize in the following table

Case	λ (in s^{-1})	λ_0 (in s^{-1})
Bounds from RD era of standard cosmology	$\ll 5 \times 10^{10}$	$\ll 10^{14}$
Bounds from MD era of standard cosmology	$\ll 4 \times 10^{-4}$	$\ll 0.7$
Bounds from comparing rates of Compton scattering and CSL heating	$2{\times}10^3$	3×10^6
Bounds from COBE/FIRAS observation of $\mu-$distortion	70	10^5
Bounds from PIXIE future observation of $\mu-$distortion	4×10^{-2}	74
Bounds from COBE/FIRAS observation of $y-$distortion	8×10^{-5}	0.14
Bounds from PIXIE future observation of $y-$distortion	5×10^{-8}	10^{-4}

References

1. A. Bassi and G. C. Ghirardi, *Phys. Rept.* **379**, 257 (2003) [arXiv:quant-ph/0302164].
2. A. Bassi, K. Lochan, S. Satin, T. P. Singh and H. Ulbricht, arXiv:1204.4325 [quant-ph].
3. G. C. Ghirardi, P. M. Pearle and A. Rimini, *Phys. Rev.* **A42**, 78 (1990).
4. P. Pearle and E. Squires, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73** (1994).
5. K. Lochan, S. Das and A. Bassi, *Phys. Rev.* **D86**, 065016 (2012) [arXiv:1206.4425 [astro-ph.CO]].
6. J. C. Mather *et al.*, *Astrophys. J.* **420**, 439 (1994).
   D. J. Fixsen, E. S. Cheng, J. M. Gales, J. C. Mather, R. A. Shafer and E. L. Wright, *Astrophys. J.* **473**, 576 (1996) [arXiv:astro-ph/9605054].
7. A. Kogut *et al.*, *JCAP* **1107**, 025 (2011) [arXiv:1105.2044 [astro-ph.CO]].